## **EXECUTIVE DECISION**

## made by a Cabinet Member



## REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number – L40 22/23

## Decision

I	Title of decision: Fleet Replacement Prog	gramme	Phase 2	& 3					
2	Decision maker Councillor Richard Bingley Leader of Plymouth City Council								
3	Report author and contact details: Martin Hoar, Fleet Services Manager								
	martin.hoar@plymouth.gov.uk								
4	Decision to be taken:								
	Approves the Business Case								
	<ul> <li>Allocates £8,651,806 for the project into the Capital Programme funded by service borrowing</li> </ul>								
	Authorises the procurement process								
	• To authorise Service Director for Street Services to approve business cases and award contracts relating to this project where they would otherwise not have authority to do so.								
5	<b>Reasons for decision:</b> Allocation of funding for the replacement of additional fleet vehicles within Phase 2 & 3 of the ongoing Fleet Replacement programme, with additional shortfall from Phase 1								
6	Alternative options considered and rejected:								
	Continue to operate end of life vehicles with high maintenance costs and increased downtime.								
	Replacement to Electric or alternative fuels, this option is not currently affordable by service borrowing grant funding and future Capital programme needs to be considered.								
	Alternative fuels are still in early stage for HGV and specialist vehicles, as technology improves they will be considered for replacement where funding is available.								
7	Financial implications and risks:								
	The Scheme is funded by service borrowing.								
	Inflation is a risk to the project cost.								
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact <u>Democratic Support</u>	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:					
	for further advice)	$\checkmark$		in the case of <b>capital</b> projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of <b>£3million</b> in total					

				$\checkmark$	in the case of <b>revenue</b> projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of <b>£1 million</b>				
				√	is <b>significant</b> in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising <b>two or more</b> wards in the area of the local authority.				
		oublication of the orward Plan of Key							
9	linked to the C		y • A g enviro	<ul> <li>The project fully meet the corporate plan priorities below :</li> <li>A green, sustainable city that cares about the environment;</li> <li>Create and varied, efficient, sustainable transport</li> </ul>					
10	Please specify a environmental decision (carbo	implications of the	· ·	Replacement of end of life Diesel vehicles with improve the carbon emissions.					
Urge	ent decisions								
П	implemented interests of th	urgent and to be immediately in the e Council or the	Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support ( <u>democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk</u> ) for advice)				
	public?			$\checkmark$	(If no, go to section 13a)				
I2a	Reason for urg	gency:							
I2b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:			Date					
	Scrutiny Committee name:								
	Print Name:								
Cons	sultation								
13a	Are any other Cabinet members'		Yes						
	portfolios affected by the decision?			$\checkmark$	(If no go to section 14)				
I3b		abinet member's ected by the decision?							

					emption	Daragra	ob Nun	abor				
				b	(Keep as much information as possible in the briefing report that will be in the public domain)							
			No	√ <b>o</b>	of the Local Governme the relevant box in <b>18</b>			nt Act 1972 by ticking				
18a	Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information?			b	yes, prepare a second, riefing report and indica ublication by virtue of F			/hy it is n	ot for			
Con	fident	ial/exempt information										
	В	Business case										
	Α	Briefing report for publication (mand	atory)									
17	Ref.	Title of appendix										
Арр	endic	es				,						
			applicable) Procurement (if applicable)					PW/PS/671/ED/0323				
			Corporate property (if					n/a				
			Human Resources (if applicable)					n/a				
			Legal (mandatory)					MS0000126603.03.2				
	uepa	in timents consulted.	(mandatory) Finance (mandatory)					PI.22.23.405				
16	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:		Democratic Support					DS134 22/23				
Sign	-off											
			Date consulted		22/03/20	23						
	Iear	m member has been consulted?	Job title		Strategic Director for Place							
15	conflict of interest in relation to the decision? Which Corporate Management		No     √     Officer       Name     Anthony Payn		Anthony Payne							
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a		Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring							
			13 October 2022									

18b	Confident title:	ial/exempt briefing report									
Back	ground Pa	pers	1 1	1			1				
19	Please list a	ll unpublished, background pape	rs relevan	t to the o	decision	in the tab	le below	•			
	Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.										
	Title of	background paper(s)		Exemption Paragraph Number							
			I	2	3	4	5	6	7		
<b>C</b> . I. <sup>1</sup>		<b>C</b>									
		er Signature									
20	Corporate promote ec people who	agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.									
Signature		(Hichard Brigley	Date of decision			24 March 2023					
Print Name											